

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,720	10/03/2003	Robert C. Lam	01168/DKT00076	6119	
43215	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER		
BORGWARNER INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT			SPERTY, ARDEN B		
3850 HAMLIN ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUBURN HI	LLS, MI 48326-2872		1771		

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				16.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		10/678,720	LAM, ROBERT C.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Arden B. Sperty	1771	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTH STORM THE MAILING BAND THE MONTH STORM THE MONTH STORM THE MONTH STORM THE MONTH STATE THE MON	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the state of the state	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this communicati ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 No.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pi		is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1 and 3-29 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 23-28 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-22 and 29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or incomparison of the specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable and acceptable and acceptable and acceptable and acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable and acceptable acceptable and acceptable accep	r election requirement.	Examiner.	
11)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	` '
Prioritv ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1771

FINAL OFFICE ACTION

1. Applicant's comments and amendments, submitted 11/14/05, have been entered and carefully considered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 10, "least" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In line 9 of claim 6, it appears that the porous primary layer should be referred to, instead of the "fibrous base layer."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-5, 14-15, and 20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5965658 to Smith et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,720

Art Unit: 1771

7. The Smith reference teaches a friction material comprising carbonaceous fibers, which are about 65 to 80% carbonized, and a thermosetting resin (Abstract). The carbonaceous fibers are present in an amount of about 2-20%, thus meeting the limitations of claims 1 and 3.

Page 3

- 8. Regarding claim 4, the carbonaceous fibers have a length of 0.1 to 50 mm (col.
- 4, lines 13-16) and a diameter of about 8 to 25 μ m (col 4, lines 41-44).
- 9. Regarding claim 5, the friction material further comprises aramid fibers (col. 3, lines 55-57).
- 10. Regarding claim 14, phenolic resins are recited for use as the thermosetting resin (col. 5, line 9). Regarding claim 15, resin is contained in an amount of about 5 to 35% (col. 5, lines 15-16). Regarding claim 20, epoxy-modified phenolic resins are recited (col. 5, line 14-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/678,720

Art Unit: 1771

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

- 12. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over US Patent 5965658 to Smith, as applied to claim 1 above.
- 13. The Smith reference teaches a friction material comprising partially carbonized carbonaceous fibers, a thermosetting resin, and auxiliary materials (columns 3-5). Example 1 is a non-limiting example of a specific composition and forming method for the friction material. As is conventional in the art, the fibrous composition is compression molded and cured to form a brake pad. The conventional compression molding and curing of an impregnated fibrous brake pad material results in an inherently porous product. Fibrous materials for brake pad materials are conventionally selected for the porosity provided thereby. It is reasonable to presume that although the porosity of the friction material is not mentioned, the porosity is present as a result of the composition and process of making. In the event any differences between the porosity of the prior art and that of the claims can be shown, such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routing modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results; see also In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977) and In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CAFC) for the providing of this rejection under 35 USC 102/103.

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5965658 to Smith as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent 6194059 to Yesnik.
- 16. The Smith reference teaches a friction material comprising carbonaceous fibers, a thermosetting resin, and auxiliary materials (col. 4-5). The reference teaches several resins which may be employed in making the friction material, including phenolic resins. The reference does not mention the combination of silicone resin with the recited resins. The Yesnik reference is also drawn to an impregnated friction material. The Yesnik reference teaches the inclusion of a silicone-phenolic resin blend to provide high friction stability and high heat resistance (col. 3, lines 10-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the phenolic resin of the Smith reference with a silicone component, motivated by the desire to provide high friction stability and high heat resistance. Further, absent a showing of unexpected results with the claimed proportions, it would have been within the ordinary level of skill of one in the art to determine an optimal formula.

Art Unit: 1771

17. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5965658 to Smith.

18. The Smith reference teaches epoxy modified phenolic resins. The reference is not particular about the proportions of epoxy and phenolic resins. The proportions of the modified resin are left to one of ordinary skill in the art. Determination of desired resin proportions would have been within the ordinary level of skill of one in the art, and would have required only routine experimentation. Absent a showing of unexpected results with the claimed proportions, no patentable distinction can be determined over what is routine in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 19. Claim 6 is currently rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Claim 6 would be allowable if corrected, as indicated above, to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. The following is a statement of reasons for indication of allowable subject matter:
- 20. The prior art teaches fibrous friction materials comprising aramid fibers, and an amount of partially carbonized carbon fibers within the claimed range. The partially carbonized fibers are also known to have the claimed degree of carbonization.

 Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) is a recognized measure of the degree of fiber fibrillation based upon drainability, the value of which would have been obvious to optimize by one of ordinary skill in the art. Further inclusion of a variety of filler materials is also disclosed by the prior art. What is not taught, or fairly suggested, by the prior art

Art Unit: 1771

is the above friction material further comprising a secondary layer of 65 to 90% carbonized carbon fibers covering about 3 to about 90% of the surface of the friction material. For this reason, claims 6-9, 12-13, and 29 would be allowable, if claim 6 was corrected to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Method claims 23-38 would be rejoined, and also allowed, because they also include coating 65 to 90% carbonized carbon fibers to cover about 3 to about 90% of the surface of the friction material.

Conclusion

21. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,720

Art Unit: 1771

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arden B. Sperty whose telephone number is (571)272-1543. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571)272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arden B. Sperty

Page 8

Examiner Art Unit 1771

February 1, 2006